## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

v.	)
	)
RANDY FALLS	Ocase No: <u>DNCW301CR000186-001</u>
	) USM No: <u>09859-058</u>
Date of Original Judgment: April 10, 20 Date of Last Amended Judgment: August 14,	
Date of Last Amended Judgment: August 14,	2003 S. Frederick Winiker, III Defendant's Attorney
	•
Order Regarding Motion for	Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)
§ 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imposubsequently been lowered and made retroactive § 994(u), and having considered such motion, a	the Director of the Bureau of Prisons  the court under 18 U.S.C. isonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has e by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 . § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:	
	e defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in
the last judgment issued) o	
I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDE	LINE DANCE (Drive to Aven Demostrate)
Original Offense Level: 34	Amended Offense Level: 34
Criminal History Category: VI	Criminal History Category: VI
Original Guideline Range: <u>Life</u>	Amended Guideline Range: <u>Life</u>
II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDE	
The reduced centence is within the emended	quidalina ranga
<ul> <li>The previous term of imprisonment imposed of sentencing and the reduced sentence is co</li> <li>The reduced sentence is above the amended</li> <li>Other (explain): Due to the defendant's class</li> </ul>	was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time mparably less than the amended guideline range.
of sentencing and the reduced sentence is co The reduced sentence is above the amended Other (explain): Due to the defendant's class	was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time mparably less than the amended guideline range. guideline range. sification as a Career Offender, there is no change in the guideline
<ul> <li>□ The previous term of imprisonment imposed of sentencing and the reduced sentence is co</li> <li>□ The reduced sentence is above the amended</li> <li>■ Other (explain): Due to the defendant's classical calculations and Amendm</li> </ul>	was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time imparably less than the amended guideline range. guideline range. sification as a Career Offender, there is no change in the guideline ent 750 is not applicable in this case.
☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed of sentencing and the reduced sentence is co ☐ The reduced sentence is above the amended ☐ Other (explain): Due to the defendant's class calculations and Amendm ☐ III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS	was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time imparably less than the amended guideline range. guideline range. sification as a Career Offender, there is no change in the guideline ent 750 is not applicable in this case.
☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed of sentencing and the reduced sentence is co ☐ The reduced sentence is above the amended ☐ Other (explain): Due to the defendant's classical calculations and Amendm ☐ The reduced sentence is above the amended of the calculations and Amendm ☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed of sentence is considered.	was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time imparably less than the amended guideline range. guideline range. sification as a Career Offender, there is no change in the guideline ent 750 is not applicable in this case.
☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed of sentencing and the reduced sentence is co ☐ The reduced sentence is above the amended ☐ Other (explain): Due to the defendant's classical calculations and Amendm ☐ The reduced sentence is above the amended of the calculations and Amendm ☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed of sentence is considered.	was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time mparably less than the amended guideline range. guideline range. sification as a Career Offender, there is no change in the guideline ent 750 is not applicable in this case.  judgment dated April 10, 2003 shall remain in effect.